

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,954	12/19/2001	Frank Venegas JR.	IDS-14602/14	2646
25006	7590 08/25/2006		EXAMINER	
•	GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE & CITKOWSKI, P.C	INKLE & CITKOWSKI, P.C	KATCHEVE	S, BASIL S
PO BOX 702 TROY, MI	=		ART UNIT	F PAPER NUMBER
,			3635	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Appliagnation			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/027,954	VENEGAS, FRANK			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Basil Katcheves	3635			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DESIGNATION OF THE	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 J	lune 2006.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under		-			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	_	* *			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	prity documents have been rec	ceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rec	ceived.			
	\sim 1	OLA				
	Service and	Kronous 8(21)06				
Attachmen	PECM	MERTIL				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) lail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	•					

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Pending claims 1, 2 and 4 are examined below. Claim 3 is withdrawn and claim 5 is canceled.

Drawings

The drawings were received on 6/6/06. These drawings showing the saddle weld are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,261,647 to Venegas, Jr. et al. in view of U. S. Patent No. 4,891,926 to Allenbaugh.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Venegas, Jr. et al. discloses a guard rail assembly comprising a pair of vertical metal side members (22, Figure 2 and column 2, lines 49-50) covered by polymeric sheathing (26 and 30, Figure 2), a horizontal metal member (50, Figure 2 and column 2, lines 56-57) covered by polymeric sheathing (54, Figure 2, column 2, lines 57-58) spanning the two vertical members, the horizontal member has a length that extends beyond the outermost extent of the vertical side members (as best illustrated in Figure 1). The vertical and horizontal members are attached by way of

Art Unit: 3635

removable fastener (38, Figure 2 and column 3, lines 4-6). Venegas, Jr. et al. does not specify that the horizontal and vertical rails are connected with a saddle weld.

Allenbaugh discloses a guard rail assembly connected by welding (column 7, lines 64-66) into a saddle weld (fig. 4: welds between 100 to 84 and 86). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Venegas by using a saddle weld, as disclosed by Allenbaugh, in order to creat an impermeable seal and strengthen the bond between components 21 (left and right) to components 50 and 42, respectively.

Regarding claim 4, Venegas discloses the use of removable fasteners (38) for connecting the members.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/6/06 have been fully considered but are most under new grounds of rejections necessitated by the applicant's amendment of 6/6/06.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/027,954

Art Unit: 3635

Page 4

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is

(571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30

am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Naoko Slack, can be reached at (571) 272-6848.

BK

Rasil Katcheves

8/21/06

Primary Examiner, AU 3635